


# U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY MONUMENT RECORD

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

This record shall show the location of the corner and shall include all of the following nine elements (a through i).

- (a) Identify the corner by reference to the U.S. public land survey system.  
O = Corner monument restored.

SE COR.  
SEC 30-16-2W




- (b) Describe any record evidence, monument evidence, occupational evidence, testimonial evidence or any other material evidence you considered, and whether the monument was found or placed.

BEARING TREES WERE GONE. TRAVERSED FROM THE CORNER POST AT THE SE COR SEC 30 TO THE NE COR SEC 30. SPLIT MILE TO LOCATE E 1/4 COR SEC 30. AT SPLIT, I MEASURED WITHIN ± 0.2' TO ORIGINAL BEARING TREES AT E 1/4 COR. ACCEPTED CORNER POST AS BEST LOCATION OF SE CORNER, ALSO DISTANCE TO S 1/4 COR SEC 30 IS BETWEEN 6'-8' SHORT OF ORIGINAL PLAT DISTANCE, THIS IS CONSISTENT WITH OTHER LINES MEASURED IN THE AREA. 6'-8' PER 1/2 MILE.

- (c) In the plan view drawing below, provide reference ties to at least 4 witness monuments, or if the location is within a municipality, to at least 2 witness monuments. (Witness monuments shall be made of concrete, natural stone, iron or other equally durable material.)  
Describe witness monuments.

- (d) Show a plan view drawing depicting the relevant monuments and reference ties which is sufficient in detail to enable accurate relocation of the corner monument if the corner monument is disturbed. Indicate north.



Replaced SpK. With Harrison Mon.

On 10/18/91 Crew: Sim - Kenworthy

Comments \_\_\_\_\_

(e) Describe any material discrepancy between the location of the corner as restored or reestablished and the location of that corner as previously restored or reestablished by distance and direction. Show the discrepancy on the plan view drawing under (d), above. Show the distances between the corner as previously restored or reestablished and (1) the corner as restored or reestablished, and (2) to at least 2 of the witness monuments shown on the drawing in (d), above.

NONE

(f) Was the corner restored through acceptance of (1) an obliterated evidence location, or, (2) a found perpetuated location?

(g&h) Was the corner reestablished through lost corner proportionate methods? If so, show the method, including the directions and distances to other public land survey corners used as evidence or used for proportioning in determining the corner location?

(i) I, GARY D. SCHNEIDER S-1297  
(type or print name) certify that the corner location shown on this record was determined by me or under my direction and control and that this U.S. Public Land Survey Monument Record is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Gary D. Schneider  
Signature

11-2-89  
Date




21602300000

Research Form  
for  
Original U.S. Public Land Survey Corner  
Restoration or Reestablishment

DATE 9/12/89

- 1) Corner Designation  
SE corner of section 30 (Corner Index No. \_\_\_\_\_)  
 Township 16 North; Range 2, WEST; county  
MONROE.

Please circle appropriate corner  
and indicate section number -



- 2) Description of Original Corner  
 Year set \_\_\_\_\_ by whom \_\_\_\_\_  
 Physical description of monument: POST  
 Bearing tree descriptions: W.O. 18 N88W 17LKS  
W.O. 16 N31 E 68 LKS  
 Calls within 1/2 mile (streams, outcrops, etc.): TRAIL BEARS  
EAST, 63.57 BUR OAK 8 IN DIAM. (GONE, NOW CROP LAND)
- 3) Record of use and/or perpetuation by County Surveyors \_\_\_\_\_  
NONE

- 4) Description of Monument Evidence and Occupation Evidence in Existence: FENCE CORNER POST, FENCE LINES  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) Field sketch of evidence (Show relationship between markings found, fences, roads, and other occupational features).
- 6) Results of Field Search (in the following blanks, answer yes, no, or not applicable.)
- A. Were marks of the original surveyor found? NO
- B. Were marks of subsequent surveyors found? NO
- C. Is there any conflict between any found marks? NO
- D. Is there any conflict between found marks and occupation lines? NO
- E. Was parol evidence solicited? NO Was parol evidence obtained? \_\_\_\_\_ (If yes, please attach Resident Witness Affidavit(s) - Form 3).
- F. Is there any conflict between physical evidence and parol evidence? NO
- G. Is the location of the found evidence in general accord with other corners as determined from aerial photographs?  
 \_\_\_\_\_

H. Is there a reasonable indication that digging with power tools and machinery could yield substantial evidence of the corner? NO Is this procedure recommended? NO

I. Does it appear necessary to obtain a proportional position for the corner to either shore up or refute existing physical evidence? YES

J. At this point in time, do you consider the corner lost such that it should be located entirely by proportionate measurement? NO

7) Recommendations

(Please indicate (1) the position you recommend as best representing the true corner or (2) further action you recommend before making a location determination). (1) RECOMMEND ACCEPTING FENCE CORNER POST AS BEST AVAILABLE EVIDENCE. 1/2 MILE WEST-2640 ORIGINAL SURVEY 2633.651 MEAS. 1MILE NORTH -5280 ORIGINAL SURVEY, 5273.16 MEAS-11/2 ML. EAST 7922.6409 7897.10 MEAS.

8) Further comments: SEE BELOW

9) Certification

Field Investigation Executed

Date: JUNE 89' AND OCT 89'

By: GARY D. SCHNEIDER S-1297 under direct supervision of: \_\_\_\_\_, L.S.

Registration No.: \_\_\_\_\_