# U.S. Land Survey Monument Record

Corner ID: E1/4 29, T16N-R2W

21602292000

Type of Monument:

Set Harrison Monument

**County Coordinates:** 

339658.5 673561.2

Witnesses: Zachariah P. Dechant

Gary L. Dechant


### **Basis For Monument Location:**


(Show info such as history, evidence, testimony, found or method of relocation)


Gary Schneider submitted a tie sheet for this corner November 11, 1989 stating he set a Harrison at the corner by proportionate measurement.

County Surveyor, Gary Sime, sometime in the early 1990's, found that G. Schroeder had set the SE corner of Section 29 corner in error, apparently a calculation error in his attempt to set it by DPM, which directly affected his location for the E¼ corner. G. Sime intended to move the corner but had not done so.

After adjusting the SE corner, (see tie sheet for SE corner of Section 29), we then reset the E½ corner in its new position on line and half way between section corners. This position fit's the centerline of Lasso Ave and CTH "T" much better. Set in open field, down 15".








## U.S. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY MONUMENT RECORD

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

This record shall show the location of the corner and shall include all of the following nine elements (a through i).

(a) Identify the corner by reference to the U.S. public land survey system.O = Corner monument restored.

W'14 COR. SEC 28-16-2W



(b) Describe any record evidence, monument evidence, occupational evidence, testimonial evidence or any other material evidence you considered, and whether the monument was found or placed.


ALL EVIDENCE WAS GONE. CORNER WAS LOST.

(c) In the plan view drawing below, provide reference ties to at least 4 witness monuments, or if the location is within a municipality, to at least 2 witness monuments. (Witness monuments shall be made of concrete, natural stone, iron or other equally durable material.)

Describe witness monuments.

(d) Show a plan view drawing depicting the relevant monuments and reference ties which is sufficient in detail to enable accurate relocation of the corner monument if the corner monument is disturbed. Indicate north.






Describe any monitorial discreption of the corner and stored control of the location of the corner and direction. Show the discrepency on the plan view drawing under (d), above. Show the distances between the corner as previously restored or reestablished and (1) the corner as restored or reestablished, and (2) to at least 2 of the witness monuments shown on the drawing in (d), above.

NONE

(f) Was the corner restored through acceptance of (1) an obliterated evidence location, or, (2) a found perpetuated location?

NO

(g&h) Was the corner reestablished through lost corner proportionate methods? If so, show the method, including the directions and distances to other public land survey corners used as evidence or used for proportioning in determining the corner location?



(i) I, <u>SARY D. SCHNEIDER 5-1297</u>
(type or print name) certify that the corner location shown on this record was determined by me or under my direction and control and that this U.S. Public Land Survey Monument Record is correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Jan D. Ehneich 11-2-89
Signature Date

### Research Form for Original U.S. Public Land Survey Corner Restoration or Reestablishment

	(E 1/4 CDR 29) DATE 5/20/89
1)	Coener Designation
	<u>W1/4</u> corner of section <u>28</u> (Corner Index No
	Township 16 North; Range 2 , WEST ; county
	MONROE .
	Please circle appropriate corner and indicate section number -
2)	Description of Original Corner  Year setby whom
	Physical description of monument SET 1/4 SECTION POST
	Bearing tree descriptions BURR OAK 13 N 87 E 193 1KS (127.38
	BURR DAK 10 N5W 190 (125.40)
	Calls within 1/2 mile (streams, outcrops, etc.)  BROOK 8LKS - 16.08 CH NORTH OF 1/2 CORNER
3)	Record of use and/or perpetuation by County Surveyors <u>NONE</u>

4)	Description of Monument Evidence and Occupation Evidence in
	Existence NONE NO CHANCE FOR B.T. OPEN FIELD.
5)	Field sketch of evidence (Show relationship between markings
	found, fences, roads, and other occupational features).
	· ·
6)	Results of Field Search (in the following blanks, answer yes,
	no, or not applicable.)
	A. Were marks of the original surveyor found? NO
	B. Were marks of subsequent surveyors found? NO
	C. Is there any conflict between any found marks? NO
	D. Is there any conflict between found marks and occupation
	lines? <u>NO</u>
	E. Was parol evidence solicited? <u>YES</u> Was parol evidence
	obtained? <u>NO</u>
	F. Is there any conflict between physical evidence and parol
	evidence? <u>NO PHYSICAL EVIDENCE</u>
	G. Is the location of the found evidence in general accord
	with other corners as determined from aerial photographs?
	<u>N/A</u>
	H. Is there a reasonable indication that digging with power
	tools and machinery could yield substantial evidence of
	the corner? NO Is this procedure recom-
	mended? NO

I. De	oes it appear necessary to obtain a proportional posit-
ie	on for the corner to either shore up or refute existing
fq	hysical evidence? <u>YES</u>
J. At	t this point in time, do you consider the corner lost
SL	uch that is should be located entirely by proportionate
me	easurement? <u>YES</u>
Recomm	mendations
(Pleas	se indicate (1) the position you recommend as best rep-
resent	ing the true corner or (2) further action you recom-
mend b	pefore making a location determination). (1) SINGLE
	ORTION
Furthe	er comments SEE BELOW.
Certif	ication
Field	Investigation Executed
Date:	
By: GA	RY SCHNEIDERunder direct
	ision of:, L.S.
	ration No. <u>: S-1297</u>
NOTE: VEALED	FIELD INVESTIGATION OF THE SW COR OF SEC 28 RE- NO CONCLUSIVE EVIDENCE. ALSO NO EVIDENCE FOUND AT EAST

1/4 COR SEC 32. DOUBLE PROPORTION WAS DONE BETWEEN SW COR SEC 29, S 1/4 COR SEC 28, NW COR SEC 29 AND THE SE COR OF SEC 32. FOR THE SW COR OF SEC 28, THEN SINGLE PROPORTION OF THE W 1/4

COR SEC. 28.